Feature Review Checklist						
Feature Title:		Rev	eview Date:			
Reviewer(s):		Сор	Copy Reviewer(s):			
Section	Item		Υ	Ν	Comments/Changes	
Feature	Should comply with formatting standa	rds				
	Should have an accurate business valu	ie				
	Should have the correct system actor					
	Should describe only 1 feature, this					
	should be reflected in the file name					
	Should describe the actor's needs					
	consistently with the scenarios					
	Should have short and sensible title ar	nd				
	description					
	Should have consistent domain langua	ige				
	Should be organized in the correct					
	folders					
Background	Should comply with formatting standa					
	Should place the system in a known st	ate				
	Should be max 4 lines					
	Should have multiple scenarios					
	Should not include technical stuff					
Scenarios	Should comply with formatting standa	rds				
	Should have 1 and only 1 Given-When	-				
	Then section (with And/Or statements	5)				
	Should use declarative steps					
	Should clean up the system afterward	s				
	Should place repetitive checks in a					
	Scenario Outline					
	Should be able to run twice in a row					
	without failing					
	Should cover happy and non-happy pa	ths				
	Should not have And/Or within the ste	ep				
	Should <u>not</u> leave data in the folder of	the				
	running tests					
	Should <u>not</u> depend on data that is like					
	to change in the course of normal usag	-				
Tags	Should be up to date (@wip, @todo,					
	Should <u>not</u> use same tag for scenario a	and				
	feature					
	Should <u>not</u> be on the background					

Step Definition Review Checklist Feature Title: **Review Date: Reviewer(s)**: **Copy Reviewer(s): Comments/Changes** Section Item Ν Υ Should follow coding standards Should be consistent in style and formatting Should be well-structured General code Should have clear and adequate commenting in consistent style Should have properly defined variables with meaningful consistent names Should reuse existing step definition where possible Should avoid fragile definitions Should use valid & robust selectors Steps

You can use generic code review checklists:

place

• https://www.liberty.edu/media/1414/[6401]code review checklist.pdf

Should throw exceptions in the proper

Should provide meaningful exception text

• https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse403/13sp/lectures/code-review-checklist.pdf

You can also use programming language specific checklists:

Ruby:

Exceptions

- <u>https://reinteractive.net/posts/126-checklist-for-a-rails-application-code-audit</u>
- <u>http://matthewpaulmoore.com/post/5190436725/ruby-on-rails-code-quality-checklist</u>
- http://www.ultrasaurus.com/2010/01/rails-security-review-checklist/

Python:

<u>http://pycogent.org/coding_guidelines.html</u>

C#:

- <u>http://www.codeproject.com/Articles/593751/Code-Review-Checklist-and-Guidelines-for-Csharp-De</u>
- http://weblogs.asp.net/tgraham/44763