



Weekend Testing
Jeroen Rosink

SQUERIST

“Jeroen Rosink, een tester met passie voor testen.”

- Ruim 11 jaar ervaring
- Test Consultant/ Test Manager
- Leuke opdrachten van embedded tot SAP implementatie
- van waternival tot Agile
- van Banken tot Energie wereld
- Trainer en Coach voor medewerkers
- Weblog:
<http://testconsultant.blogspot.com>



Wat is Weekend Testing?



Hoe werkt het?




Wat levert het op?

Wat is Weekend Testing?

- Testen in het weekend!
- Oorsprong in India (Bangalore Weekend Testers)
- Internationaal platform met andere gepassioneerde testers
- Binnen 2 uur een applicatie benaderen
- Op basis van een missie
- Delen van kennis en ervaringen
- Ongeacht ervaring in testen



SQUERIST Locaties Weekend Testing



SQUERIST

Hoe werkt het?



Twitter & WeekendTesting.com

Mail sturen met je skype naam

Inloggen op skype

5-10 minuten voor start>>Skype Groep

Nodig:

- PC + netwerk connectie
- Skype + account
- Tijd
- (je eigen toolset)



5-10 deelnemers

10 minuten Introductie

Uitleg missie

Ca 1 uur missie uitvoeren

Ca 1 uur round up



PROVEN QUALITY



- Facilitator (samen met co-facilitator) op zoek naar tools
 - In Europa: Markus Gaertner (D) en Anna Baik (UK)
- Toestemming vragen gebruik
- Beschikbaar stellen
- Meeting begeleiden
- Eventueel inrichten “traps”!!!???





Mission: (<http://weekendtesting.com/archives/1159>)
.... called How To Think About Science.

Listen to the recording. Take notes on what you're hearing, with the goal of capturing lessons from the interview that might usefully influence how we test, and how we think about testing. Stories or patterns from your own testing experience that you can relate to something in the interview are especially valuable.

Transcript

ntists

ment listening to testers and not checkers?

8:01:02 PM Thomas Ponnet And I agree with all you just wrote

8:01:02 PM meeta prakash predictable consequences" - 44 min.....what about sapient approach ?

8:01:13 PM Markus Gärtner imagining that there may be more behind they things they modeled

8:01:58 PM Michael Bolton As I suggested earlier, it's more than management listening to testers. In one way, I see the inshore people as more like users, bigger stakeholders than testers.

8:02:01 PM annabaik On 12/06/2010, at 19:00, Michael Bolton wrote: > Note that the fishers used sensory input that the scientists didn't: they differentiated between fish /based on how the fish tasted/, for example. There's a pattern here I think - anecdotal and sensory input is considered less important, because it isn't quantitative

8:02:28 PM Jeroen Rosink @Thomas: great answer indeed!perhaps that is a credo we should remembering.

8:03:15 PM annabaik On 12/06/2010, at 19:01, Michael Bolton wrote: > As I suggested earlier, it's more than management listening to testers. In one way, I see the inshore people as more like users, bigger stakeholders than testers. » But were they important users? It sounds like the only users who were listened to were operators of big offshore fishing





Mission: (<http://weekendtesting.com/archives/1064>)

.... This Flash app generates barcodes – but how? Can you find how it's calculating them? Find the highest value that you can, and report invalid values.



Transcript


... you are never sure what you missed when following another method avoids you to think and judge your approach as due to time you will be forced to follow. As you don't know what you missed you cannot value what you have found. Therefore you found nothing valuable. I believe testers should be forced to continue thinking about their value and their skills if it fits the purpose and if not, learn from it and adapt.

8:02:38 PM **Thomas Ponnet** @Michael, I showed where a dozen bugs cost us £1200/bug with the most important ones would've been found within a weeks worth of testing. Needed a patch 1 week after shipping.

2:40 PM **Michael Bolton** @Jeroen " I believe testers should be forced to continue thinking about their value and their skills if it fits the purpose and if not, learn from it and adapt." I agree. I also suggest that most of the overstructured process models are very break ways of going about that, especially since the default assumption is that the process is correct and that deviation from it is incorrect.

3:12 PM **Thomas Ponnet** I should add that I work not as a consultant/contractor but as a permanently employed with different PM's being my client.

3:57 PM **Michael Bolton** @Thomas Nice analysis. The process is not the same as it proceeds with those who help you to prevent the next...



The barcode value for a **99** year old **Male**, that lives in **Sierra Leone**, is **99** inches high, and weighs **2197.8** pounds is: **\$0.00**



Mission: (<http://weekendtesting.com/archives/833>)

.... Use the SFDPO heuristic to test Wiki on a Stick.

- SF DePOT (Structure, Function, Data, Platform, Operations, and Time)
- <http://www.satisfice.com/articles/sfdpo.shtml>
- <http://www.developsense.com/articles/2005-10-ElementalModels.pdf>

Testers:

Anne-Marie Charrett, Tony Bruce, Maik Nogens, Jeroen Rosink, Vijay Kalkundri, Daniele Castellitto (project manager from Wiki on a Stick), Michael Bolton, Ajay Balamurugadas, Anna Baik, Markus Gärtner



- Testen met anderen onbekende en bekende als:
 - James Bach, Michael Bolton, Matthew Heusser, Cem Kaner, Jon Bach, Marlena Compton, Markus Gaertner, Pradeep Soundararajan
- Out of the box denken/testen
- Ervaringen van anderen ontvangen
- Jouw ervaringen delen/toetsen
- Je zelf challenges in een andere omgeving
- Andere specialisaties/methoden ontmoeten



- Automatisering (tool) (WATIR)
- Online tools
- Tools die van nut zijn bij testen
- Geluidsfragment buiten testen om
- Reviewen
- Mind mapping
- Bug Advocacy
- Issue registraties





- Je eigen testresultaten
- Issues in repository (online)
- Transcript van sessie (online)
- Incidentele weblogs
- Lessons learned





- Applicaties te testen (scherm printjes Skype, applicaties te test, transcript log)
- Round up
- Deelnemers (michael bolton)



Lessons Learned

- Verschil met in Nederland gangbare methoden
 - Heuristics vanuit RST /CDT
- Training in snel testen → focus/defocus
- Cultuur verschillen in ervaring en gedachten
- 'al anders en dat mag ook!





- Leuk, dynamisch en leerzaam
- Kost tijd tijdens weekend (het blijft weekend testen)
- out of the box denken binnen beperkte tijd inzicht krijgen in je eigen vaardigheden.
- Te gebruiken om je eigen kennis aan te scherpen.





Weekend Testing Website: <http://weekendtesting.com/>

WeekendTesting op Twitter:

Weekend Testing: <http://twitter.com/weekendtesting>

Europe Weekend Testing: <http://twitter.com/europetesters>

Mijn weblog met o.a. ervaringsverslagen:

Jeroen's World Of Software Testing

<http://testconsultant.blogspot.com>

